

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9 Scott M. McNair,

No. CV 03-2119 PHX NVW

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 vs.

12

County of Maricopa, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 ///

28 ///

Before the court is Plaintiffs Motion to Extend Page Limit of Reply for plaintiffs Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (doc. # 62) and Motion to Vacate Order to Strike Summary Judgment Reply (doc. # 67). A party has no right to file an over-length brief without prior leave of the court and therefore can acquire no right in an over-length brief wrongfully filed in violation of the rules of the court. No cause has been shown for filing an over-length reply brief.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs Motion to Extend Page Limit of Reply for Plaintiffs Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (doc. # 62) and Motion to Vacate Order to Strike Summary Judgment Reply (doc. # 67) are denied. Plaintiff may have until October 18, 2005, within which to file a Reply in support of Plaintiffs Motion for Partial Summary Judgment not to exceed eleven pages.

1 DATED this 12th day of October, 2005.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Neil V. Wake
United States District Judge